

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/054,119         11/13/2001         Juergen Kaufmann         089469-000000US         6450           20350         7590         12/11/2003         EXAMINER           TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP         ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A           TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER           EIGHTH FLOOR         ART UNIT         PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	10/054,119	10/054,119 11/13/2001		Juergen Kaufmann	089469-000000US	6450
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	20350	7590	12/11/2003	•	EXAM	INER
A DET LA LETTE AND A DEPOSIT A COURT	TOWNSEN	ID AND T	TOWNSEND A	ROSENBERGER	ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
			O CENTER		ADTIBUT	DABED MUMBED
	SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA	A 94111-3834		2877	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠. ٠. ف						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/054,119	KAUFMANN, JUERGEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this accommission of	Richard A Rosenberger	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the	) correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·	<del>-</del>				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for docu	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the central (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 rest sentence of the specification of the certification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 12 rovisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ation No ived in this National Stage  ved. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,119

Art Unit: 2877

1. Claims 1-39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/054,516 and claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/054,116.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the three applications are all directed to the same general invention, a gas permeable probe. It is unclear what the distinctions among the claimed subject matter in these three applications is intended to be. The same subject matter appears to be claimed in various degrees across the applications. For example, the independent claims 1 and 32 in 10/054,119 claims a bellows, which is also claimed in claims 28 of 10/054,116.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baskins et al (US 4,549,080) in view of Shu-Ti Lee et al (US 4,684,805) and Bragg et al (US 4,749,276).

Baskins et al shows a gas permeable probe with an elongate hollow structure, a mounting structure, optical window (22) reflector for returning light tot the first end of the hollow structure, and a connecting structure connecting the pieces together. The Baskins et al reference

Art Unit: 2877

does not show including a bellows in the structure. It is known in the art to include a bellows in such structures; see the bellows 38 in Shu-Ti Lee et al, which teaches it provides for adjustability in path length (column 3, lines 40-43) and the bellows mentioned in Bragg et al (column 5, lines 16-17), which teaches that it provides for adjustability of the mirror position. It would have been obvious to provide such a bellows in an instrument such as shown by Baskins to achieve the sort of adjustability taught by the references. Baskins et al includes a hollow tube (16) filled with a gas that does not impair the measurement (column 8, line 49). Baskins et al teaches a temperature sensor (thermocouple 28) to sense temperature of the gas (column 37-43); sensing other gas parameters known to affect the measurement, such a pressure, would have been obvious. Baskins et al teaches providing a heater; column 8, lines 38-41).

4. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 28 November 2003

Richard A. Rosenberger
Primary Examiner